



Fellowes Solicitors LLP
Saxon House, 182 Hoe Street, Walthamstow,
London , E17 4QH
Recognised body
498353

[Agreement Date: 7 July 2025](#)

Decision - Agreement

Outcome: Regulatory settlement agreement

Outcome date: 7 July 2025

Published date: 22 July 2025

Firm details

Firm or organisation at date of publication

Name: Fellowes Solicitors LLP

Address(es): Saxon House, 182 Hoe Street, Walthamstow, London, E17 4QH

Firm ID: 498353

Outcome details

This outcome was reached by agreement.

Decision details

1. Agreed outcome

1.1 Fellowes Solicitors LLP (the Firm), a recognised body, authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) agrees to the following outcome to the investigation:

- a. Fellowes Solicitors LLP will pay a financial penalty in the sum of £15,023 under Rule 3.1(b) of the SRA Regulatory and Disciplinary Procedure Rules;
- b. to the publication of this agreement under Rule 9.2 of the SRA Regulatory and Disciplinary Procedure Rules, and
- c. Fellowes Solicitors LLP will pay the costs of the investigation of £600, under Rule 10.1 and Schedule 1 of the SRA Regulatory and Disciplinary Procedures Rules.



2. Summary of Facts

2.1 We carried out an investigation into the firm following a desk-based review by our AML Proactive Supervision Team.

2.2 Our inspection and subsequent investigation identified areas of concern in relation to the firm's compliance with the Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing (Information on the Payer) Regulations 2017 (MLRs 2017), the SRA Principles and the SRA Code of Conduct for Firms.

Client and matter risk assessments (CMRAs)

2.3 In four files, the firm failed to conduct client and matter risk assessments (CMRAs), pursuant to Regulation 28(12)(a)(ii) and Regulation 28(13) of the MLRs 2017.

Customer due diligence (CDD) measures / source of funds (SoF)

2.4 In two files, the firm failed to conduct appropriate customer due diligence (CDD) measures, including the scrutiny of transactions undertaken (including, where necessary, the source of funds), pursuant to Regulations 28(2) and 28(11)(a) of the MLRs 2017.

Policies, controls and procedures (PCPs)

2.5 In four files, the firm failed to follow or implement its own policies, controls and procedures (PCPs), pursuant to Regulation 19(3)(e) of the MLRs 2017.

2.6 The firm has since confirmed it has put in place measures to ensure continuing and future compliance, including updating and amending its PCPs, trained staff on the importance and completion of CMRAs and ensured a documented and completed CMRA was present on all live, in-scope files.

3. Admissions

3.1 The firm admits, and the SRA accepts, that by failing to comply with the MLRs 2017, it has breached or failed to achieve:

- a. Principle 2 of the SRA Principles – which states you act in a way that upholds public trust and confidence in the solicitors' profession and in legal services provided by authorised persons.
- b. Paragraph 2.1(a) of the SRA Code of Conduct for Firms – which states you have effective governance structures, arrangements, systems and controls in place that ensure you comply with all the SRA's regulatory arrangements, as well as with other regulatory and legislative requirements, which apply to you.



- c. Paragraph 2.2 of the SRA Code of Conduct for Firms – which states you keep and maintain records to demonstrate compliance with your obligations under the SRA's regulatory arrangements.
- d. Paragraph 3.1 of the SRA Code of Conduct for Firms – which states that you keep up to date with and follow the law and regulation governing the way you work.

4. Why a fine is an appropriate outcome

4.1 The SRA's Enforcement Strategy sets out its approach to the use of its enforcement powers where there has been a failure to meet its standards or requirements.

4.2 When considering the appropriate sanctions and controls in this matter, the SRA has taken into account the admissions made by the firm and the following mitigation:

- a. The firm took steps to rectify its failings, is now compliant with the MLRs 2017.
- b. At the time of the inspection, the firm's FWRA, and client and matter risk assessment (CMRA) were found to be compliant with the MLRs 2017, so there was a lower exposure to ongoing risks.
- c. The firm has cooperated with the SRA's AML Proactive Supervision and AML Investigation teams.
- d. Admitting the breaches listed above at the earliest opportunity.

4.3 The SRA considers that a fine is the appropriate outcome because:

- a. The conduct showed a disregard towards statutory and regulatory obligations and had the potential to cause harm, by failing to ensure the firm undertook CMRAs and SoF checks in conveyancing transactions, that could have led to money laundering (and/or terrorist financing).
- b. It was incumbent on the firm to meet the requirements set out in the MLRs 2017. The firm failed to do so. The public would expect a firm of solicitors to comply with its legal and regulatory obligations, to protect against these risks as a bare minimum.
- c. The agreed outcome is a proportionate outcome in the public interest because it creates a credible deterrent to others and the issuing of such a sanction signifies the risk to the public, and the legal sector, that arises when solicitors do not comply with anti-money laundering legislation and their professional regulatory rules.

4.4 Rule 4.1 of the Regulatory and Disciplinary Procedure Rules states that a financial penalty may be appropriate to maintain professional standards and uphold public confidence in the solicitors' profession and in legal services provided by authorised persons. There is nothing within this Agreement which conflicts with Rule 4.1 of the Regulatory and Disciplinary Rules and on that basis, a financial penalty is appropriate.



5. Amount of the fine

5.1 The amount of the fine has been calculated in line with the SRA's published guidance on its approach to setting an appropriate financial penalty (the Guidance).

5.2 Having regard to the Guidance, the SRA and the firm agree that the nature of the misconduct was more serious (score of three). This is because the firm's failure to ensure it had proper documentation in place shows a persistent disregard of the firm's regulatory obligations. This is more serious given the lack of CMRAs, CDD and SoF at file level, which translated to a poor understanding of the risks posed by clients and matters and resulted in insufficient scrutiny being applied.

5.3 The firm only became compliant with the MLRs 2017 because of our AML deskbased review and guidance we have provided. The breach has arisen because of recklessness and a failure to pay sufficient regard to money laundering regulations, published guidance and SRA warning notices. The firm has failed to ensure that it was fully compliant with its statutory obligations until May 2025, a period of over seven years since the MLRs 2017 came into effect.

5.4 The impact of the harm or risk of harm is assessed as being medium (score of four). The nature of conveyancing is considered high-risk, owing to the risk of abuse of the system by criminals. We note the firm currently undertakes around two-thirds of its work in scope of the money laundering regulations, via mainly conveyancing. This puts it at a risk of being used to launder money. Conveyancing is a high-risk area for money laundering and terrorist financing. However, there is no evidence of there being any direct loss to clients or actual harm caused as a result of the firm's failure to ensure it had proper documentation in place, and despite its policies, controls and procedures not being followed with respect to CMRAs, CDD and SoF.

5.5 The 'nature' of the conduct and the 'impact of harm or risk of harm' added together give a score of seven. This places the penalty in Band 'C', as directed by the Guidance.

5.6 We and the firm agree a financial penalty at the lowest end of the bracket. This is because, despite the lack of full compliance until this year, we are pleased to see the firm has confirmed it has put in place measures to ensure continuing and future compliance, by rolling out training to staff on the importance of and the process for conducting CMRAs, reviewed all live files in-scope of the MLRs 2017 to ensure a completed CMRA was present on each file, and updated its PCPs.

5.7 Based on the evidence the firm has provided of its annual domestic turnover, this results in a basic penalty of £17,674.



5.8 The SRA considers that the basic penalty should be reduced to £15,023. This reduction reflects the mitigation set out at paragraph 4.2 above.

5.9 The firm does not appear to have made any financial gain or received any other benefit as a result of its conduct. Therefore, no adjustment is necessary, and the financial penalty is £15,023.

6. Publication

6.1 Rule 9.2 of the SRA Regulatory and Disciplinary Procedure Rules states that any decision under Rule 3.1 or 3.2, including a Financial Penalty, shall be published unless the particular circumstances outweigh the public interest in publication.

6.2 The SRA considers it appropriate that this agreement is published as there are no circumstances that outweigh the public interest in publication, and it is in the interest of transparency in the regulatory and disciplinary process.

7. Acting in a way which is inconsistent with this agreement

7.1 The firm agrees that it will not deny the admissions made in this agreement or act in any way which is inconsistent with it.

7.2 If the firm denies the admissions, or acts in a way which is inconsistent with this agreement, the conduct which is subject to this agreement may be considered further by the SRA. That may result in a disciplinary outcome or a referral to the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal on the original facts and allegations.

7.3 Acting in a way which is inconsistent with this agreement may also constitute a separate breach of Principles 1, 2 and 5 of the SRA Principles and paragraph 3.2 of the Code of Conduct for Firm.

8. Costs

8.1 The firm agrees to pay the costs of the SRA's investigation in the sum of £600. Such costs are due within 28 days of a statement of costs due being issued by the SRA.

[Search again \[https://update.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/\]](https://update.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/)